UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Juan Carlos Alvarez-Sotelo	Case No.1:20-cr-00020-JTN
	Defendant	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform efendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findir	ngs of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	
		.*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been of U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve	es:
	a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or defined a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has	on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another as not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Fi	·
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	
(`)	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	nore is prescribed in:
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t	thed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions the safety of the community.
(1)	Alternative Fi There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger th	ne safety of another person or the community.
` ,	Part II – Statement of the	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the de a preponderance of the evidence that:	etention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
1 Defen	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest	detention at this time
	dant walved his determined hearing, electing not to contest dant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be releas	
	dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the	
		ŭ

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 04, 2020	Judge's Signature: /s/ Sally J. Berens
		Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge